

PRESENTERS



Peter McKenzie QC, Wellington

Peter spent a number of years in the law faculty of Victoria University and as a partner in the litigation division of Brandon Brookfield, before taking silk. He was on the Securities Commission for many years and was chair from 1990 until 1995. Peter acts as an adviser to a number of countries on company and securities law reform and has a continuing advisory and litigation practice.



Paul Radich, Minter Ellison Rudd Watts Lawyers, Wellington

Paul is a litigation partner in Minter Ellison Rudd Watts, specialising in public law. He is an author of textbooks, commentaries and articles on public law and courtroom advocacy and has presented seminars and workshops in those areas for NZLS for some years.

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

A FOREWORD FROM THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL	1
INTRODUCTION	3
BACKGROUND TO THE 2010 ACT	4
GENERAL LIMITATION PERIODS UNDER THE 1950 ACT.....	5
START DATE UNDER THE 1950 ACT.....	6
THE LIMITATION DEFENCES UNDER THE NEW LIMITATION ACT	7
<i>Which claims come under the Act?</i>	7
<i>Barring of claims, pleading limitation as an affirmative defence and contracting out</i>	8
THE LIMITATION PERIODS UNDER THE LIMITATION ACT 2010	9
THE START DATE UNDER THE NEW ACT	13
LAW COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS	13
NEW LEGISLATION	13
<i>“The primary period”</i>	14
<i>“Date on which the claim is filed”</i>	14
<i>“Money claims”</i>	14
<i>Date of act or omission on which the claim is based</i>	15
<i>Special start dates</i>	16
DEFENCES, LATE KNOWLEDGE AND THE LONGSTOP	19
A SUMMARY OF THE NEW REGIME.....	19
THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMMON LAW.....	21
LAW COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS	28
<i>Knowledge period</i>	28
<i>A longstop period</i>	30
THE NEW PROVISIONS – LATE KNOWLEDGE AND LONGSTOP	32
STATUTORY EXCEPTIONS.....	35
ABUSE	35
<i>Law Commission comments</i>	35
<i>New provisions – discretion to allow relief in the case of abuse of minor or gradual process, disease or infection injury</i>	35
MINORITY AND INCAPACITY	36
<i>The 1950 Act</i>	36
<i>Law Commission recommendations</i>	37
<i>The new Act</i>	38
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND PART PAYMENT.....	39
FRAUD	39
PART 3 PERIODS – SPECIAL LIMITATIONS FOR CERTAIN CLAIMS	41
LAND	41
CHATTELS.....	43
TRUSTS	43
ACCOUNT.....	44
CLAIM TO HAVE A WILL DECLARED INVALID.....	44
CONTRIBUTION	44
ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS OR ARBITRAL AWARDS.....	45
CLAIMS UNDER CONTRACT STATUTES.....	46
TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS.....	47
MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	49
LIMITATION ENACTMENTS APPLY TO ARBITRATIONS	49
CONTRACTING OUT OF DEFENCES	49
THE NEED TO PLEAD THE DEFENCE AND ITS EFFECT	49

ANCILLARY CLAIMS	49
INTEREST ON JUDGMENT DEBTS	50
BONA FIDE PURCHASER FOR VALUE	50
DECEASED ESTATES	50
TRUST BENEFICIARIES	50
APPLICATION OF LIMITATION LAW OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES	51
LIMITATION PROVISIONS IN OTHER ENACTMENTS	52
COMMERCE AND FAIR TRADING ACTS.....	53
COMMERCE ACT 1986	53
FAIR TRADING ACT 1986	56
DEFAMATION.....	59
DEFAMATION ACT 1992.....	59
ACCIDENT COMPENSATION	65
LIMITATION PERIOD FOR CLAIMS.....	65
DEEMED STARTING DATES	65
<i>Limitations on the Corporation</i>	67
INSURANCE.....	69
INSURANCE LAW REFORM ACT 1977.....	69
SUCCESSION.....	73
NOTICE OF CLAIM UNDER THE ADMINISTRATION ACT	73
ADMINISTRATION ACT S 49 AND FOLLOWING TRUST ASSETS	74
<i>Extension of time for bringing proceedings under the Family Protection Act and the Law Reform (Testamentary Promises) Act</i>	76
JUDICIAL REVIEW	79
EQUITY.....	83
KNOWLEDGE.....	83
UNREASONABLE DELAY	83
UNJUST IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR THE PROCEEDING TO BE MAINTAINED	84
CAN LACHES APPLY TO COMMON LAW CLAIMS?	85
EQUITY AND THE LIMITATION ACT	85
APPLICATION OF LIMITATION ACT BY WAY OF ANALOGY	87
EQUITABLE FRAUD.....	89
EXAMPLES WHERE NO ANALOGY HAS BEEN FOUND.....	90
NO LACHES AGAINST THE CROWN	91
CASE EXAMPLES.....	93
HOW WOULD THESE CASES BE DECIDED UNDER THE NEW ACT?	93
<i>Thom v Davys Burton</i>	93
<i>Invercargill City Council v Hamlin</i>	94
<i>Murray v Morel & Co Ltd</i>	95
INDEX OF CASES.....	99